Applicant(s) Application No. 10/644.238 GATELY ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Matthew F. DeSanto 3763 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Matthew F. DeSanto. (2) Joseph Maenner. Date of Interview: 01 June 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: The exhibit was a working model of the catheter and guidewire system. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art record. Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. g) was not reached. h) \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed limitations that would overcome the prior art. The language discussed deals with the limitations of a guidewire and functional language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. **NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** Model

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required